

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,545	08/01/2003	Michael F. Brletich	12539	2681
PAUL F. DON	7590 12/19/200 OVAN	EXAMINER		
ILLINOIS TO	OL WORKS INC.	GARCIA, ERNESTO		
3600 WEST LA GLENVIEW, I	AKE AVENUE L 60025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
022,2			3679	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			12/19/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/632,545	BRLETICH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lxammer	Artonit		

	Ernesto Garcia	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FINOI NEFET WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	0001100
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			acause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i L Delow),	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>9 and 11-16</u> .			·
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A bafana an an Aba data at fillian a bl	. Al	.4.6
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ıed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) (	1 aniel 1	Stodel
		DANIEL P. S	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation "and across the at least one disc" requires further search and/or consideration. Note the examiner did not indicate claim 1 was ever allowable or objected as being allowable. With respect to claim 21, this withdrawn claim has been amended to read on the elected species and requires further search and/or consideration against the prior art of record.

Continuation of 13. Other: With respect to the telephone conference, applicant merely called the examiner to get a suggestion on how to fix the non-compliant amendment with respect to the wrong status identifier being used.